

Patient Involvement in Clinical Research

- how did I become involved?
- what did it entail?
- how was I able to contribute?
- what was the impact on me?

My Background

- Diagnosed HIV +ve (late) in 2000
- Hospitalised
- Visited by local support group (NYAA)
- Joined and chaired UK-CAB
- Worked with BHIVA on writing groups/committees

I became very interested in treatment
This involved getting to grips with data from RCTs

PIVOT ⁽¹⁾

- One of the largest recent UK-based trials
- Concepts developed around 2007
- Run by MRC, who consulted UK-CAB at design stage
- Keen to have patient involvement, not just participation as patients
 - in running trial
- Two main bodies involved in running trial
 - Data Monitoring Committee (DMC)
 - Assess progress, safety...can recommend modifications or halt trial
 - Trial Steering Committee (TSC)
 - Overall supervision of trial but doesn't see data
 - Responds to concerns of DMC (who do see data)
- Both have patient representatives (PRs) via UK-CAB

PIVOT ⁽²⁾

- At that time, triple therapy successful, but many ARVs had severe side effects
- Some trials had shown that monotherapy could keep v/l suppressed
 - Suppress with triple for 6 months, then mono
 - Short-term data – 1 or 2 years only
- Could we use mono long-term?
 - Fewer pills to take
 - Better adherence
 - Fewer side effects
 - Better adherence, better QoL
 - Lower cost to NHS
 - ..but would it be safe long-term?

PIVOT ⁽³⁾

- Long-term – 5 years
- Could you revert to triple without loss of options if mono failed?
 - Concern about developing resistance with mono
- Look at other factors to see if any problems long-term
 - Kidney, liver, CV, cognitive, sexual....

Patient representative (PR) on TSC

- lots of reading and reviewing!
Also meetings (at least annual)
My role – always voice the patient perspective!

Where might a PR contribute? ⁽¹⁾

- Recruitment
 - Clear info about trial for prospective participants
 - Easy to understand Patient Information Sheets
 - “advertise” in patient community
 - Advise on trial protocol and practicalities
 - Make sure it isn't more difficult than necessary for patients
- PIVOT recruitment went well
 - Not always the case
 - Examples of active community involvement in other trials to help get numbers

Where might a PR contribute? ⁽²⁾

- Running the trial (TSC)
 - Some issues very technical
 - Change in way vll measured
 - Voicing the patient perspective!
 - Cognitive function sub-study
 - Researchers keen to see how well ARVs cross blood-brain barrier
 - Wanted 100 lumbar punctures!
 - Agreed to 40; actually got 19

Other members of TSC very helpful

Where might a PR contribute? ⁽³⁾

- Reviewing material before publication
 - Baseline cognitive function
 - Results compared with general population
 - More than half had cognitive impairment!
 - Challenge whether this comparison was accurate
 - Many PLWH come from disadvantaged communities
 - Many PLWH do not have English as first language
 - - when corrected for these factors, the effect was much smaller
 - Alarmist language avoided

Where might a PR contribute? ⁽⁴⁾

- Communicating results of trial
 - Publications in academic literature not easy to read
 - Need a patient-friendly version
 - After the trial, researchers feedback meeting to discuss results
 - Also a meeting with trial participants

Impact on me

- Very interesting to see first-hand how trial is run
 - Incredible attention to detail
 - Impressive intellectual and practical skills in TSC
 - Amazing levels of knowledge, ability and passion
- Daunting at first, but other TSC members very helpful
 - Happy to explain
 - Not patronising
 - Welcoming environment

Being a PR might not be for everyone, but is rewarding